

A. Brief Overview of Accreditation Committee Structure

- The Accreditation process is based on the format of the ISER report, set by ACCJC, which is divided into four standards.
- Collaborating Teams are identified based on areas of expertise.
- Team Leads work with collaborating teams to submit evidence and review drafts.

The Four Standards

1. Mission, Academic Quality and Institutional Effectiveness, and Integrity

Leads: Larry Maxey (previously Ashanti Hands) & Hai Hoang

Collaborating Team:

- Office of IE, PIEC, COA, PRSC, BARC, FHP, CHP
- Committee of Chairs
- Communications
- Catalog Committee
- Sahar King
- Anda McComb

2. Student Learning Programs and Support Services

- a) Instructional Programs
- b) Library & Learning Support
- c) Student Support

Leads: Jennifer Snyder, Tina Ricalde, Alison Mona, Leslie Shimazaki (previously Paloma Vargas), Pearl Ly, Alison Gurganus, Ailene Crakes, Karla Trutna, Cynthia Rico, Markus Berrien

Collaborating Team:

- Deans Council
- Academic Senate
- Andy MacNeill
- Mark Mannasse
- Victoria Miller
- Cynthia Rico
- Erika Higginbotham
- Johanna Aleman

3. Resources

- a. Human Resources
- b. Physical Resources
- c. Technology Resources
- d. Financial Resources

Leads: Lorenze Legaspi, Alan Goodman

Collaborating Team:

- Facilities & Safety, MIT, BARC
- David Fiero
- Kevin Branson

- Jacqueline Collins
- Matt Fay
- Michael Davis
- Erica Garcia
- Carla Grossini
- Virginia Enriquez
- Marco Chavez
- Janue Johnson
- Katie Palacios

4. Leadership and Governance

Leads: Isabel O’Connor, Beth Cain, Eva Parrill

Collaborating Team:

- President’s Cabinet, PIEC
- Holly Jagielinski
- John Crocitti
- Natalia Trinh
- Sahar King
- Ashanti Hands

B. Campus-wide communications:

Fall 2021 - ongoing	Monthly Accreditation Meetings with Standard Leads Monthly reports to PCAB & PIEC
March 21, 2022	Survey emailed to students asking for feedback on Draft 1
April 25, 2022	Survey emailed to campus employees/faculty asking for feedback on Draft 1
May 31, 2022	Team Leads received emailed draft of their standard
July 2022	Draft 2 posted in Teams
October 2022	Draft 2 to PCAB <i>Request asking for a review of the draft at the constituent level</i>
November 2022	Draft 2.5 posted in Teams
January 2023	Team Leads received email notification of gaps in report
<i>February 22, 2023</i>	<i>Report to Executive Team</i>
February 2023	Draft 3 finalized

C. Recommendations

- Clarification of the roles and process of leads and collaborating teams
- Bottom-up as well as top-down communications
- More training in collaborative workspace (Teams)
- Presentation of each draft IN PERSON rather than digital only